Message

From: Henry, Tala [Henry.Tala@epa.gov]

Sent: 11/16/2018 1:00:20 PM

To: Morris, Jeff [Morris.Jeff@epa.gov]; Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]

CC: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [Baptist.Erik@epa.gov]; Scheifele, Hans

[Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Elsewhere in the document and other places, the OECD document has been cited as the list of potentially made/used. It is the only 'systematic' way data has been gathered globally, albeit with all due caveats regarding surveys...which OECD is transparent about in the report.

I would rather not be the source of some other number based on no methodology

From: Morris, Jeff

Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 7:49 AM **To:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>

Cc: Henry, Tala < Henry. Tala@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik

<Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 7:44 AM **To:** Morris, Jeff < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>

Cc: Henry, Tala < Henry, Tala@epa.gov >; Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand, Charlotte@epa.gov >; Baptist, Erik

<baptist.erik@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

That number is in the OECD report- they have the number registered and it's about 1000, if I'm recalling correctly.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

P: <u>202-564-1273</u> beck.nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 16, 2018, at 7:41 AM, Morris, Jeff < Morris_Jeff@epa.gov > wrote:

This reminds me of when, a decade ago, people said there were tens of thousands of types of carbon nanotubes (probably because someone ran a computer model to draw theoretical structures) when so far less than 100 have come through the new chemicals program and even fewer actually commercialized.

So I'm not sure that OECD number is helpful. I think it would serve everyone best if we could get on the same page as ECHA on how many PFAS we estimate are actually active in commerce.

From: Henry, Tala

Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2018 7:43 PM **To:** Beck, Nancy <<u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik

Saptist.erik@epa.gov>; Morris,

Jeff < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov >; Scheifele, Hans < Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov >
Subject: RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

In which set?

The TSCA Inv and Active are actual chemicals manufactured of course.

The 4730 number comes a 2018 OECD document (attached) that updates a 2007 list – it is a list of lists (from a bunch of countries inventories);

The chemicals on the OECD list ALL have CASRNs...however, the OECD database includes all PFAS that may have been present on the global market, not just those that actually were present on the marketplace. Further, OECD notes: "PFASs that are on the global market and in the environment consist of intentionally produced substances and unintentionally generated substances (i.e. unreacted residuals, by-products, and degradation intermediates). The original intention of this study was to focus on identifying PFASs that have been produced for commercial uses (as registered under many national/regional chemical inventories). However, during the development of the list, it was noted that some sources do not have such a clear indication (for details, see Table 2 below). Therefore, a clear labelling of PFASs with respect to their origins (e.g., produced for commercial uses, produced for research purposes, unintentionally generated) cannot be made in this study;..."

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2018 6:25 PM **To:** Henry, Tala < Henry, Tala@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Morris,

Jeff < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans < Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Is it possible many of the identified products are not actually manufactured but are metabolites or breakdown products? If true, is there a way to characterize that?

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
P: 202-564-1273
beck.nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 15, 2018, at 5:43 PM, Henry, Tala < Henry, Tala@epa.gov > wrote:

It is true that OW was assuming mutual exclusivity, which we know is not true...they realized it when I pinged them to ask where they got their #s.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

<image001.png>

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2018 5:32 PM **To:** Henry, Tala < Henry, Tala@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik

<Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Morris, Jeff < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

It's on my list. What is the response to Jeff's very good question?

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
P: 202-564-1273
beck.nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 15, 2018, at 5:26 PM, Henry, Tala < Henry. Tala@epa.gov > wrote:

I sent you all our proposed edits to the chart in email at yesterday @ 1:03 pm ...OW awaits our input.

From: Holsinger, Hannah

Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2018 4:36 PM

To: Albert, Ryan <<u>Albert.Ryan@epa.gov</u>>; Henry, Tala

<Henry.Tala@epa.gov>

Cc: Wadlington, Christina < Wadlington. Christina@epa.gov >; Scheifele,

Hans <<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Dunton, Cheryl

<<u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov></u>; Krasnic, Toni <<u>krasnic.toni@epa.gov></u>;

Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>>; Lan, Alexis

<lan.alexis@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Hi Tala,

Following up on Ryan's email to see the status of your edits. We are incorporating the remaining edits this afternoon.

Thanks! Hannah

From: Albert, Ryan

Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2018 3:25 PM

To: Henry, Tala < Henry. Tala@epa.gov >

Cc: Wadlington, Christina < Wadlington. Christina@epa.gov >; Holsinger,

Hannah < Holsinger. Hannah@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans

<<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Dunton, Cheryl <<u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>;

Krasnic, Toni < krasnic.toni@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda < Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Lan, Alexis < lan.alexis@epa.gov> Subject: RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Hi Tala,

Thank you for your review, intending to provide edits, and your question. In regards to the question:

- The numbers were derived from OPPT's internal summary report (dated September 5th, 2018) and OECD's global database on PFAS. Both reports are attached.
- The graphic was based on a statement in an earlier draft of the management plan, where we wrote "According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD 2018), over 4,730 PFAS-related compounds have been identified in the public domain and the number is continuing to grow as industry develops new chemicals. In the United States alone, the EPA's TSCA Chemicals Substances Inventory—which lists existing chemical substances that are manufactured or processed in the United States—includes 1,220 PFAS, of which 551 are known to have been commercially active in the last decade."
- In the most recent draft of the management plan, this statement has since been changed to read "Over 4,000 PFAS may have been used worldwide (OECD 2018). The EPA's Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Chemical Substance Inventory estimates that over 1,220 PFAS have been listed on the TSCA Inventory, of which 551 are known to be commercially active within the last decade."
- Upon reexamining the numbers in the figure in light of your question, we noted we assumed numbers in the figure represented a binary situation; i.e., the numbers inside the United States and outside the United States were presented as though they are mutually exclusive. However, we recognize this may be a mischaracterization as some compounds may be produced both inside and outside the U.S. Hence, we may need to remove the 3,560 number or recharacterize how that number is presented.
- We welcome OPPT's feedback on how these figures should be characterized and summarized in the graphic.

Please let us know if you have any additional questions or need any additional information.

Best regards, Ryan

Ryan Albert, Ph.D.
Acting Chief, Standards and Risk Reduction Branch
Standards and Risk Management Division
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

From: Henry, Tala

Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2018 2:35 PM

To: Albert, Ryan < Albert.Ryan@epa.gov>

Cc: Wadlington, Christina < Wadlington. Christina@epa.gov >; Holsinger,

Hannah < Holsinger. Hannah@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans

<<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Dunton, Cheryl <<u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>;

Krasnic, Toni <<u>krasnic.toni@epa.gov</u>>; Strauss, Linda

<<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>>; Lan, Alexis <<u>lan.alexis@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** RE: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS

management plan Importance: High

Hi,

We are reviewing this now and have some edits; however, can you please advise as to the source of the numbers in the pie chart??

Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Acting Deputy Director
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

T: 202-564-2959 E: henry.tala@epa.gov

From: Albert, Ryan

Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 8:34 PM **To:** Henry, Tala < Henry, Tala@epa.gov >

Cc: Wadlington, Christina < Wadlington. Christina@epa.gov >; Holsinger,

Hannah < Holsinger. Hannah@epa.gov >; Scheifele, Hans

<<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Dunton, Cheryl <<u>Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov</u>>;

Krasnic, Toni krauss, Linda

<Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Lan, Alexis <lan.alexis@epa.gov>

Subject: Administrator TSCA-related comment for PFAS management plan

Hi Tala,

We received the Acting Administrator's comments on the PFAS management plan today.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

meets the Acting Administrator's objectives. I am forwarding her note here and the graphic for your consideration.

We welcome your feedback on the graphic and input as to whether, in your opinion, we are missing key detailed information in this section you would recommend briefly adding that furthers the AA Wheeler's objectives.

Please note I am also cc'ing Hannah Holsinger here to ensure she stays in the loop (she is on international travel through Thursday and deserves an evening or two to herself). We would be most grateful for any comments on the attached, or fleshed-out alternate recommended approach, by COB tomorrow (Wednesday 11/14) if possible.

Please let us know if you have any questions or if you need any additional information.

Best regards, Ryan

Ryan Albert, Ph.D.
Acting Chief, Standards and Risk Reduction Branch
Standards and Risk Management Division
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC
(202) 564-0763

From: Wadlington, Christina

Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 7:51 AM

To: Henry, Tala < Henry. Tala@epa.gov >; Krasnic, Toni

krasnic.toni@epa.gov; Strauss, Linda Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Cc: Scheifele, Hans Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl

<Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>

Subject: Graphic for the PFAS Management Plan

Morning!

We are putting together a few graphics for the PFAS management plan. Can you please take a look at the below and provide edits/thoughts?

PS I understand that the numbers update, if it's helpful we can add a "as of" date to the bottom to ensure it reflects the accurate numbers. <image001.png>

Thank you.